

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE 11/26/2001		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Jonathan B. Baell	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 3236
09/995,277				4102-5-1	
22442	759Ó	08/18/2003			
SHERIDAN ROSS PC 1560 BROADWAY				EXAM	IINER
				SDIVACE	SPIVACK, PHYLLIS G
SUITE 1200		•		SPIVACK,	rn i LLIS G
DENVER, CO	O 80202	The second of the	and the second of the second o	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
್ವವ ಈ ಅಂತ್ರಾಣ - ಕಾಣಾನಿ	-,	. They	The second of th	1614	12
				DATE MAILED: 08/18/200	3 1 1 7 1 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/995,277

Applicar 3(s)

Baell et al.

Examiner

Phyllis G. Spivack

Art Unit 1614



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
	for Reply	•		I
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE 3	_ MONTH(S) FROM	
- Extens	tions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a).	In no event, however, may a reply	be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the	
- If the	date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within			
	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will appl to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause			
- Any re	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			ay suudant
Status	patent term adjustment. See S. C. H. 1.75-745.		e en	
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 27,			
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This a	ction is non-final.		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Exp.			* 1 jun 1
Disposi	tion of Claims	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u>'</u>
	Claim(s) 108-111, 113, 116, 122-134, 136-140,	* •		
	a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from considera	ation.
5) 💢	Claim(s) 122-128, 132, 134, 136-140, 142, and	145	is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s) 108-111, 113, 116, 129, and 131		is/are rejected.	
7) 💢	Claim(s) 130 and 133		is/are objected to.	
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject	t to restriction and/or election require	ment.
Applica	ition Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)			·	
10,	The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re a) \square accepted or b)	objected to by the Examiner.	
10,	The drawing(s) filed on is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11)□	•	drawing(s) be held in abe	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	aminer.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abe	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	aminer.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the The proposed drawing correction filed on	drawing(s) be held in abe is: a)☐ a y to this Office action.	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	aminer.
11)□ 12)□	Applicant may not request that any objection to the The proposed drawing correction filed on	drawing(s) be held in abe is: a)☐ a y to this Office action.	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	aminer.
11)□ 12)□ Priority	Applicant may not request that any objection to the The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	drawing(s) be held in abe is: a)☐ a y to this Office action. miner.	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). approved b)□ disapproved by the Ex	aminer.
11)□ 12)□ Priority 13)□	Applicant may not request that any objection to the The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examunder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	y to this Office action. miner. priority under 35 U.S.C.	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). approved b) disapproved by the Ex	aminer.
11) 12) Priority 13) a)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examunder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	idrawing(s) be held in abe is: a) a y to this Office action. miner. priority under 35 U.S.C.	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). approved b) disapproved by the Ex	aminer.
11)□ 12)□ Priority 13)□ a)□	Applicant may not request that any objection to the The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examunder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign All Some* None of:	is: a) a y to this Office action. miner. priority under 35 U.S.C.	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). approved b) disapproved by the Ex	aminer.
11) □ 12) □ Priority 13) □ a) □	Applicant may not request that any objection to the The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examunder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some* None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have	is: a) a y to this Office action. miner. priority under 35 U.S.C. ave been received. ave been received in Application and the second action.	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). approved b) disapproved by the Ex § 119(a)-(d) or (f). plication No eceived in this National Stage	aminer.
11) □ 12) □ Priority 13) □ a) □	Applicant may not request that any objection to the The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examunder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some* c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have a copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have a copies of the certified copies of the priority	is: a) a y to this Office action. miner. priority under 35 U.S.C. ave been received. ave been received in Apple documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). approved b) disapproved by the Ex § 119(a)-(d) or (f). plication No. eceived in this National Stage	aminer.
11) □ 12) □ Priority 13) □ a) □	Applicant may not request that any objection to the The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) a y to this Office action. miner. priority under 35 U.S.C. ave been received. ave been received in Apple documents have been received in Apple 200 (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). The certified copies not received in Apple 200 (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). The certified copies not received in Apple 200 (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). The certified copies not received in Apple 200 (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). The certified copies not received in Apple 200 (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). The certified copies not received in Apple 200 (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). approved b) disapproved by the Ex § 119(a)-(d) or (f). plication No. ecceived in this National Stage ecceived. C. § 119(e).	aminer.
11)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) a y to this Office action. miner. priority under 35 U.S.C. ave been received. ave been received in App documents have been re reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)), the certified copies not r ic priority under 35 U.S. nal application has been	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). approved b) disapproved by the Experiment of the experi	aminer.
11)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) a y to this Office action. miner. priority under 35 U.S.C. ave been received. ave been received in App documents have been re reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)), the certified copies not r ic priority under 35 U.S. nal application has been	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). approved b) disapproved by the Experiment of the experi	aminer.
11)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examunder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have a copies of the priority documents have application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list of the Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest The translation of the foreign language provision Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest pent(s)	is: a) a y to this Office action. y to this Office action. priority under 35 U.S.C. ave been received. ave been received in Apple documents have been received in Apple 17.2(a)). The certified copies not receive ic priority under 35 U.S. nal application has been ic priority under 35 U.S.	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). approved b) disapproved by the Experiment of the experi	aminer.
11)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) a y to this Office action. y to this Office action. priority under 35 U.S.C. ave been received. ave been received in Application have been received in Application has been ic priority under 35 U.S. all application has been ic priority under 35 U.S. 4) Interview Summary (PTO)	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). approved b) disapproved by the Experiment of the experi	aminer.
11)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examunder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have a copies of the priority documents have application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list of the Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest The translation of the foreign language provision Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest pent(s)	is: a) a y to this Office action. y to this Office action. priority under 35 U.S.C. ave been received. ave been received in Apple documents have been received in Apple 17.2(a)). The certified copies not receive ic priority under 35 U.S. nal application has been ic priority under 35 U.S.	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). approved b) disapproved by the Experiment of the experi	aminer.

Application/Control Number: 09/995277

Art Unit: 1614

Applicants' Amendment filed May 27, 2003, Paper No. 12, is acknowledged. Claims 112, 114, 115, 117-121, 135, 141, 143, 144 and 146-150 are canceled. Claims 108-111, 113, 116, 122-140, 142 and 145 remain under consideration wherein no heteroaryl groups are present.

Claims 108-112 and 122-141 remained rejected in the last Office Action under judicially created doctrine as being drawn to an improper Markush group.

Following the cancellation of claim 135 and the deletion of the heteroaryl option in claim 122, this rejection of record is withdrawn.

Claims 122-134 and 136-142 remained rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, both first and second paragraphs, in the last Office Action.

Subsequent to the amendments to claim 122 and the cancellation of claim 141, these rejections of record are withdrawn.

Claims 108-112 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chucholowski et al., U.S. Patent 5,521,160, in the last Office Action. It was asserted Chucholowski teaches pharmaceutical compositions comprising bis-carboxystilbenes.

Applicants argue the disclosed carboxystilbenes only form a part of the final compounds taught by Chucholowski, which are sulphuric acid esters of sugar alcohols. The final compounds, Applicants urge, are useful for treating atherosclerosis and not for modulating Fc receptor binding. Applicants argue the carboxystilbenes are residues of formula (a).

Application/Control Number: 09/995277

Art Unit: 1614

The rejection is withdrawn because, as amended, the compounds disclosed by Chucholowski are no longer encompassed any compositions comprising compounds of the formula of instant claim 108.

In the last Office Action claims 108-111, 113 and 116 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Yanaka et al., U.S. Patent 5,932,575. It was asserted Yanaka teaches a pharmaceutical composition comprising a compound of formula I, 3,3'-[oxybis(methylene)]bis-benzoic acid, BRI 6727, the compound of instant claim 116, for use in the treatment of cardiac diseases.

Applicants argue Yanaka relates to agents for treating cardiac diseases, not immune diseases. Further, Applicants urge Yanaka does not describe the actual production of the compounds of the present invention.

Applicants' arguments have been given careful consideration but are not found persuasive. Applicants' arguments are primarily directed to the intended use of the claimed composition. However, intended use confers no patentable weight to composition claims. See In re Hack, 114 USPQ 161. The actual production of BRI 6727 is not required. The compound is encompassed in Yanaka's definition of the compounds of his formula I. Accordingly, in view of Yanaka's teaching, one skilled in the cardiology art would have been motivated to prepare and administer a composition comprising 3-[(m-carboxyphenyl)methoxy]benzoic acid to treat various cardiac diseases. The recitation "vasculitities" encompasses various diseases of the vascular system.

Art Unit: 1614

The rejection of composition claims 108-111, 113 and 116 under 35 U.S.C. 102 is maintained and is presently extended to include method claims 129 and 131.

Claims 122-128, 130, 132-134, 136-140, 142 and 145 appear to be free of the prior art.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C FR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CAR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Phyllis Spivack at telephone number 703-308-4703.

August 15, 2003

PHYLLIS SPIVACK PRIMARY EXAMINER

Phyllis Spirack